

APPENDIX K

Submersed Macrophyte Grapnel Data - A Summary

Composition and frequency of occurrence (six dates) of submersed plants, biomass of plants per haul, and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at the six islands or shoals. Potamogeton spp. are the narrow-leaf forms of the genus.

Appendix K

Table 1. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Stag Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	No plants	0	25	44	3.0	2.7
2	No plants	0	14	56	2.8	2.3
3	No plants	0	34	41	2.7	2.5
4	No plants	0	14	52	2.8	2.6
5	No plants	0	11	62	2.8	2.4
6	No plants	0	30	43	2.8	2.6
7	No plants	0	13	54	2.4	2.0
8	No plants	0	6	63	2.6	2.2
9	No plants	0	27	37		
10	No plants	0	12	50	2.2	1.9
11	<u>Chara</u> (1)	Tr	3	86	1.6	1.5
12	<u>Chara</u> (1)	Tr	18	45	2.3	2.1
13	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>Elodea</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	1117	8	62	1.2	0.7
14	<u>Chara</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Zannichellia</u> (1)	668	3	73	0.6	0.4
15	No plants	0	26	41	2.7	2.4
16	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1)	20	17	42	2.4	2.2
17	<u>P. richardsonii</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (4) <u>Elodea</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (2) <u>Nitella</u> (2)	730	16	24	0.9	0.4
18	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	74	3	82	0.4	0.3
19	<u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	1	23	41	2.4	2.0

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 1. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Stag Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
20	<u>P. richardsonii</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Elodea</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	201	13	42	1.1	0.6
21	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Elodea</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Najas</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	106	4	70	0.6	0.5
22	No plants	0	32	36	3.1	2.8
23	<u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (2)	44	12	42	1.6	1.1
24	No plants	0	32	41	3.4	3.0
25	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1)	16	4	68	1.7	1.2
26	No plants	0	33	50	3.4	3.2

Appendix K

Table 2. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Fawn Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	<u>Chara</u> (2) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1)	29	18	18	2.3	1.6
2	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (5) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (2) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	71	16	35	2.1	1.4
3	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	33	16	24	2.3	1.6
4	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (2)	116	12	42	2.0	1.1
5	<u>Chara</u> (2)	1	26	22	2.0	1.6
6	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>P. gramineus</u> (4) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	1123	10	31	0.9	0.7
7	<u>P. gramineus</u> (5) <u>Chara</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	288	9	39	1.7	0.8
8	No plants	0	28	29	2.3	1.7
9	<u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	416	19	28	2.0	1.5
10	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1)	23	5	64	1.4	0.9
11	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	34	5	56	1.4	0.8
12	No plants	0	27	25	2.8	2.4
13	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>P. gramineus</u> (4)	46	8	63	1.2	0.7
14	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>P. narrow</u> (1) <i>etc</i>	30	3	77	0.9	0.7
15	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	13	4	76	1.5	1.0
16	No plants	0	34	16	2.9	2.4

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 2. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Fawn Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
17	<u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	92	16	29	1.3	1.0
18	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	48	3	59	0.8	0.6
19	No plants	0	32	26	2.9	2.4
20	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>P. gramineus</u> (4) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Najas</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	264	4	60	0.8	0.5

Appendix K

Table 3. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Russell Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	No plants	0	31	29	3.1	2.4
2	<u>Chara</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	23	22	24	2.8	2.0
3	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1)	17	23	20	2.3	1.8
4	No plants	0	41	24	3.1	2.6
5	No plants	0	31	16	3.0	2.6
6	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Chara</u> (2) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	725	11	25	2.1	1.4
7	<u>P. gramineus</u> (6) <u>Chara</u> (5) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3)	508	7	30	1.9	1.1
8	No plants	0	33	36	2.4	2.1
9	<u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	20	28	18	2.8	2.1
10	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>P. gramineus</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	269	6	36	1.2	0.5
11	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3) <u>Najas</u> (1)	27	4	62	1.6	0.7
12	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>P. gramineus</u> (3)	14	5	47	1.5	0.8
13	No plants	0	36	21	2.4	2.1
14	<u>Chara</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	99	17	33	2.2	1.8

Appendix K

Table 4. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Belle Isle. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (2) <u>P. crispus</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	882	7	19	0.8	0.4
2	<u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	17	11	8	1.8	1.1
3	<u>P. crispus</u> (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Chara</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (2) <u>Najas</u> (1)	142	8	18	0.6	0.5
4	No plants	0	22	3	2.5	1.8
5	No plants	0	15	4	2.6	1.9
6	No plants	0	34	2	2.8	2.4
7	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>P. crispus</u> (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	871	6	34	0.2	0.1
8	No plants	0	21	2	2.3	1.6
9	No plants	0	34	2	2.9	2.5
10	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Najas</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	27	7	36	0.4	0.3
11	No plants	0	36	2	2.9	2.5
12	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Najas</u> (1) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	58	10	6	1.5	1.1
13	No plants	0	34	2	2.9	2.4
14	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Najas</u> (3) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (3) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1)	88	3	69	0.2	0.1

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 4. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Belle Isle. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
15	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (5) <u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (4) <u>Najas</u> (3) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	157	3	49	0.2	0.1
16	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Vallisneria</u> (6) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (4) <u>Najas</u> (4) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (4) <u>Elodea</u> (3) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	265	4	37	0.3	0.2
17	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>Najas</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (2) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (2) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1)	246	3	57	0.2	0.2
18	<u>Chara</u> (6) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Najas</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (3) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (2) <u>P. gramineus</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1)	621	10	10	0.7	0.5
19	No plants	0	32	2	2.7	2.3
20	No plants	0	22	4	1.2	1.0
21	No plants	0	20	3	1.2	1.1
22	No plants	0	22	2	1.6	1.3
23	No plants	0	30	2	1.9	1.5
24	No plants	0	34	2	2.7	2.2

Appendix K

Table 5. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Point Hennepin. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	No plants	0	33	4	1.0	0.8
2	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (6) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4)	826	6	22	0.3	0.1
3	No plants	0	33	4	1.0	0.7
4	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>P. crispus</u> (1)	920	6	19	0.2	0.1
5	<u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2)	51	19	11	1.4	1.1
6	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	42	18	15	0.8	0.4
7	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Ranunculus</u> (1)	917	6	19	0.3	0.1
8	<u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	2	18	11	1.7	1.2
9	No plants	0	31	7	1.2	0.7
10	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (1)	182	7	18	0.2	0.1
11	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Elodea</u> (2)	581	6	20	0.4	0.2
12	<u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	106	21	16	1.7	1.0
13	No plants	0	29	9	1.3	0.8
14	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>Nitellopsis</u> (1)	198	7	28	0.2	0.1
15	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Nitella</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1)	163	6	28	0.4	0.2
16	<u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	92	18	15	1.2	0.6
17	No plants	0	28	5	1.0	0.6

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 5. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Point Hennepin. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
18	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	120	7	26	0.2	0.2
19	<u>Chara</u> (5) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Nitella</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	169	6	34	0.2	0.1
20	<u>P. richardsonii</u> (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	19	22	13	1.1	0.7
21	No plants	0	28	6	1.2	0.8
22	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	174	7	23	0.3	0.2
23	<u>Chara</u> (4) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Ectodea</u> (1) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1)	266	6	30	0.4	0.2
24	<u>Najas</u> (2) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (2) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	101	23	8	1.2	0.9
25	No plants	0	27	6	1.1	0.6
26	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1)	232	9	16	0.3	0.2
27	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Najas</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1)	215	6	20	0.2	0.1
28	No plants	0	32	6	1.9	1.4
29	No plants	0	23	5	1.2	0.4
30	<u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Chara</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Nitella</u> (1)	61	15	16	0.5	0.2
31	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Chara</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>Nitella</u> (1)	227	6	19	0.3	0.1
32	No plants	0	33	7	2.0	1.4

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 5. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Point Hennepin. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
33	<u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	28	20	14	0.5	0.3
34	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>P. crispus</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Chara</u> (1) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. gramineus</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	295	8	8	0.5	0.2
35	No plants	0	32	4	2.0	1.5
36	<u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	38	21	10	0.7	0.4
37	<u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	347	22	7	0.9	0.6
38	No plants	0	32	7	2.2	1.6
39	No plants	0	23	4	1.1	0.6
40	No plants	0	28	7	1.8	1.5

Appendix K

Table 6. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Stony Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
1	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	203	9	6	1.3	0.7
2	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (5) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	620	8	9	1.0	0.6
3	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>P. zosteriformis</u> (1)	345	8	13	0.8	0.4
4	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1)	314	10	9	0.5	0.2
5	<u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (2) <u>Elodea</u> (1) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>P. richardsonii</u> (1)	333	10	9	0.6	0.3
6	<u>P. zosteriformis</u> (2) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	41	11	14	1.8	1.1
7	<u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (5) <u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1)	869	7	9	1.0	0.4
8	<u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	84	8	16	1.4	1.0
9	<u>Heteranthera</u> (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (2) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	38	8	29	0.4	0.2
10	<u>P. crispus</u> (1)	1	10	8	0.4	0.2
11	<u>Vallisneria</u> (3) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	31	9	13	1.3	0.7
12	<u>Elodea</u> (4) <u>Heteranthera</u> (4) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (4) <u>P. crispus</u> (3) <u>Vallisneria</u> (3)	1667	4	32	0.2	0.1
13	<u>Elodea</u> (5) <u>Heteranthera</u> (3) <u>P. crispus</u> (3) <u>Butomus</u> (1) <u>Vallisneria</u> (1)	1866	3	31	0.1	0.0

CONTINUED

Appendix K

Table 6. Composition and abundance of submersed macrophytes and physical data from measurements associated with the sampling grid at Stony Island. Means are based on six sampling dates in June, July-August, and September, 1983 and 1984.

Grid intersection	Taxon composition (frequency)	Mean plant weight (g/30 ft. haul)	Mean depth (ft.)	Mean light transmission (%)	Mean current velocity (ft./s)	
					Surface	Bottom
14	No plants	0	10	8	2.6	1.6
15	<u>Vallisneria</u> (4) <u>Butomus</u> (1) <u>Heteranthera</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>Potamogeton</u> spp. (1)	146	6	17	1.5	0.8
16	<u>Heteranthera</u> (3) <u>P. crispus</u> (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1)	2554	3	36	0.3	0.3
17	<u>Elodea</u> (4) <u>Heteranthera</u> (3) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1) <u>P. crispus</u> (1) <u>Ranunculus</u> (1) <u>Typha</u> (1)	895	2	56	0.2	0.1
18	No plants	0	8	22	2.3	1.4
19	<u>Vallisneria</u> (5) <u>Heteranthera</u> (2) <u>Myriophyllum</u> (1)	50	3	48	1.2	0.6
20	<u>Heteranthera</u> (2) <u>Vallisneria</u> (2) <u>P. crispus</u> (1)	11	6	27	2.4	1.7