

1951

with the usual financial statements for the year 1951. The balance sheet at the end of the year shows a net worth of \$100,000.00.

APPENDIX F

Additional errors found in the 316(b).

The following table shows the errors found in the 316(b) for the year 1951. The errors are listed in the first column, and the amount of the error is listed in the second column.

Error	Amount
1. Error in the balance sheet	\$100,000.00
2. Error in the income statement	\$50,000.00
3. Error in the cash flow statement	\$25,000.00
4. Error in the equity statement	\$12,500.00
5. Error in the debt statement	\$6,250.00
6. Error in the total assets statement	\$3,125.00

1951 (E - F) 75

Chapter 3

p. 3.2-3: There is no Figure 3.1-3 or any detailed illustration of the discharge structure as indicated below in Section 3.2.4.

3.2.4 Discharge Structure

The condenser cooling water from all four units is discharged to a common overflow canal, approximately 1,000 ft long with varying widths and constant bottom elevation (Figure 3.1-3). Just north of the East Front Street bridge, the overflow canal terminates at the recirculation gates. Here the heated effluent can be directed either south through the discharge canal (approximately 5,800 ft x 560 ft) to Lake Erie or north through the MacMillan Road canal (approximately 1,400 ft long by 160 ft wide) to the Raisin River.

Table 3.3-1: 2328 MW is given as the maximum net capacity. The table, however, lists several capacity values that exceed 2328 MW. It appears that the maximum is 2528 MW, not 2328 MW.

Table 3.3-3: The area of 1251.0 ft² given for calculating velocity in front of the screens is incorrect. (572.27-546.0) (8) (10) = 2101.6 ft². The velocity of 0.77 ft/sec is correct however.

TABLE 3.3-3 INTAKE VELOCITY CALCULATION AT EACH SCREENHOUSE, MONROE POWER PLANT

Mean April 1975-April 1976 water level	=	572.27 ft
Screen bottom elevation	=	546.0 ft
Skimmer wall bottom elevation	=	560.0 ft
Q = maximum flow possible/screenhouse	=	1,624.2 ft ³ /sec
Number of screens	=	8
Screen width	=	10.0 ft
Screenwell width	=	11.17 ft

1. Calculation of Velocity Directly in Front of Screens

$$A = \text{Intake Area (ft}^2\text{)} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \\ \text{Water} \\ \text{Level (ft)} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Screen} \\ \text{Bottom} \\ \text{Elevation (ft)} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{No.} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{Screens} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Screen} \\ \text{Width} \\ \text{(ft)} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow A = (572.27 \text{ ft} - 546.0 \text{ ft}) (8) (10 \text{ ft}) = 2101.6 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$\rightarrow V = Q/A = \frac{1,624.2 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}}{2101.6 \text{ ft}^2} = 0.77 \text{ ft/sec}$$

2. Calculation of Velocity Past Skimmer Wall

$$A = \text{Cross-section of screenwell (ft}^2\text{)} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Skimmer wall} \\ \text{Bottom} \\ \text{Elevation (ft)} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Screen} \\ \text{Bottom} \\ \text{Elevation (ft)} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{No.} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{Screenwells} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Screenwell} \\ \text{Width} \\ \text{(ft)} \end{array} \right)$$

$$A = (560 - 546) (8) (11.17 \text{ ft}) = 1251.0 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$V = Q/A = \frac{1,528.7 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}}{1,251.0 \text{ ft}^2} = 1.22 \text{ ft/sec.}$$

Chapter 4

p. 4.2-17: According to the Literature Cited section, the reference to "Hartman (b)" should be "Hartman (a)".

p. 4.2-26: Clupeid density in paragraph #1 is $900/100 \text{ m}^3$, not $900/\text{m}^3$.

p. 4.2-27: The smelt data mentioned is not listed in Table 4.2-31.

p. 4.2-27: The Cole (1975a) reference is not listed in the Literature Cited section. The correct reference is evidently Cole (1976a).

p. 4.3-4: It is stated that:

As indicated in Table 4.3-3, yellow perch impingement in 1972 was characterized by two peaks: in October (36,704), and in April (28,350).

whereas, Table 4.3-3 (shown below) indicates that impingement peaked in October and August.

TABLE 4.3-3 FISH IMPINGEMENT EXTRAPOLATIONS AT THE MONROE POWER PLANT, APRIL THROUGH DECEMBER 1972

Species	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Gizzard shad	85	40	828	99	114,793	6,540	148,831	195,030	233,275
Rainbow smelt		19					62	342	
Shiners	93,000	17,732	1,479		6,671	1,500			78
Walleye	3	12	12				12		
Yellow perch	28,350	12,245	7,530	7,440	42,031	14,410	36,704	15,360	4,185
White bass	12	353	42	99	318	1,140	422	108	16
Channel catfish	6	12			62		6		
Coho salmon			9					6	
Rock bass	12	56	15				6	12	16
Smallmouth bass		9							
Freshwater drum	39	849	1,359	155	502	501	31	6	16
White crappie	90	202	6	16	6	90	88	54	25
Sunfish	120	87	21	53	19	81	87	12	6
Northern pike	3	6	3			15	12		
Brown bullhead	54	12		9		30		18	
Goldfish	1,800	1,333	108	31	87	30	31	78	341
Carp	3	6	12	16	7	9	38		87
Steelhead trout	3							6	
Suckers	90	38				15			10
Others	27	87	3						
Totals	123,057	33,058	11,427	7,918	161,616	24,411	186,323	211,032	236,055

p. 4.4-2: The volume of the entrainment sampling pumps is listed as 2.016×10^6 gal/day. $530 \text{ l/min} = 2.016 \times 10^5$ gal/day, not 2.016×10^6 .

Table 4.1-1: According to 316(b) p. 4.1-5, this table lists "all species whose presence was noted one or more times during the monitoring period sampling effort" from 1972-1976.

TABLE 4.1-1 SPECIES OF FISH IDENTIFIED AT THE INTAKE OF THE NORCO POWER PLANT

Common name	Scientific name
Silver lamprey	<i>Ictalurus nebulosus</i>
Sea lamprey	<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>
Longnose gar	<i>Leptosteuus oxymus</i>
Bowfin	<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i>
Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser oxyrinchus</i>
Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>
Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>
Rainbow trout	<i>Salmo gairdneri</i>
Rainbow smelt	<i>Osmerus mordax</i>
Central mudminnow	<i>Umbra lima</i>
Northern pike	<i>Esox lucius</i>
Goldfish	<i>Carassius auratus</i>
Gary	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>
Golden shiner	<i>Notemimulus crysoleucas</i>
Emerald shiner	<i>Notropis atherinoides</i>
Spottail shiner	<i>Notropis hudsonius</i>
Bluntnose minnow	<i>Pimephales notatus</i>
Creek chub	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i>
Quillback	<i>Carpiodes carpio</i>
White sucker	<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>
Black bullhead	<i>Ictalurus melas</i>
Yellow bullhead	<i>Ictalurus natalis</i>
Brown bullhead	<i>Ictalurus nebulosus</i>
Channel catfish	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>
Stoneroller	<i>Moxostoma valenciennesi</i>
Tadpole shiner	<i>Notropis anogenus</i>
Blind catfish	<i>Notropis anogenus</i>
Trout-perch	<i>Percopsis omiscomuncus</i>
Burbot	<i>Lota lota</i>
Brook silverside	<i>Labidesthes sicculus</i>
White perch	<i>Morone americana</i>
White bass	<i>Morone chrysops</i>
Rock bass	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>
Green sunfish	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>
Pumpkinseed	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>
Smallmouth bass	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>
Largemouth bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>
White crappie	<i>Pomoxis annularis</i>
Black crappie	<i>Pomoxis annularis</i>
Johnny darters	<i>Etheostoma caeruleum</i>
Yellow perch	<i>Perca flavescens</i>
Logperch	<i>Perca carolinensis</i>
Walleye	<i>Stizostedion vitreum vitreum</i>
Freshwater drum	<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>

Note: Species list includes fish impinged and entrained; also those rescued by nets or by pump. For some collections, only group common names were used including crappie, black bass, gar, sucker, and sunfish. Because these group names were sometimes used, additions to the above species list are not possible.

Comparison of the table with the Monroe data base, however, revealed several discrepancies. For example, the creek chub is listed in the table, but neither the impingement nor the entrainment records show it occurring at the intake. According to the data base, the common shiner, muskellunge, and blue catfish were impinged, but these species are not listed in Table 4.4-1. Three additional species--shorthead redhorse, slimy sculpin, and silver chub--are listed in the 316(a) demonstration (Table 3-51, Cole 1976; Table 4.2-5, Detroit Edison 1976) but are not listed in the table.

Table 4.2-5: According to the Literature Cited section, the reference to "Hartman (b)" should be "Hartman (a)".

Table 4.2-8: 316(b) fails to indicate, as done in VanVooren et al., 1975, that the 1974 YOY yellow perch catch is heavily weighted. 98% of the catch for the entire season came from one tow at the Cedar Point station (personal communication, D. H. Davies, 9-6-77).

Table 4.2-9: The life stage labeled "young" should be "young-of-the-year".

Table 4.2-11: Gill net total catch for longnose gar is 3, not 0.

Table 4.2-13: The spring collection labeled as a gill net is really a trawl that was mislabeled. Most of the values, however, were added into the correct total column.

Table 4.2-13: Mean CPE for rainbow smelt is 0.6, not 0.8.

Table 4.2-13: Mean CPE for white crappie is 1.4, not 0.8.

Table 4.2-14: The yellow perch catches by age class for station 12 do not add up to 1283.

Table 4.2-14: On the computer printouts we received from the DNR, the freshwater drum total for station 14 had been changed from 390 to 410. This changes the CPE from 78 to 82, the catch over all age groups from 662 to 682, and the mean CPE from 66 to 68.

Table 4.2-14: One of the 1230 young-of-the-year gizzard shad in the station 14 trawl should be listed as a yearling or possibly an adult.

Table 4.2-14: All 54 smelt in station 12 trawl should be listed as YOY.

Table 4.2-15: All totals are displaced from the correct species by one line.

Table 4.2-15: Station 12 trawl data for smelt should include 10 adults not listed in the table.

Table 4.2-15: Station 14 trawl for smelt YOY should be 9, not 2. This yields a total catch of 9, not 2, and a CPE of 3.0, not 0.4. The totals and mean CPE over all age groups are therefore also wrong.

Table 4.2-15: 316(b) shows all 5 trout-perch in station 12 trawl as YOY. The DNR printouts show both YOY and yearlings.

Table 4.2-15: 25 trout-perch caught in station 14 trawl have been omitted. The trawl total thus is 30, not 5, and mean CPE is 4.7, not 0.5.

Table 4.2-16: 316(b) indicates no sampling was done in the fall. Cole's data, however, show that there was sampling done in the fall and that shiners and white bass were caught.

Table 4.2-19: The 1970 estimates are incorrect because of the mislabeling error in Table 4.2-10. Most of the 1970-75 means are therefore also wrong, but only by 1-4%.

Table 4.2-19: The 1973 smelt estimate is wrong (should be 61,000 not 70,000) because of the incorrect CPE value in Table 4.2-14.

Table 4.2-19: The 1974 estimate for freshwater drum is incorrect (should be 5,953,000 not 5,761,000) because of the error in Table 4.2-14.

Table 4.2-19: The 1975 smelt estimate is low (should be 532,000 not 419,000) because of the error made in Table 4.2-15.

Table 4.2-19: The 1975 trout-perch estimate is greatly underestimated (410,000 not 44,000) because of the 25 fish omitted in Table 4.2-15.

Table 4.2-20: 1974 freshwater drum estimate is incorrect (from Table 4.2-19).

Table 4.2-20: 1975 smelt estimate is incorrect (from Table 4.2-19).

Table 4.2-20: 1975 trout-perch estimate is wrong (from Table 4.2-19).

Table 4.2-20: There are several other errors in the age class divisions arising from errors in Tables 4.2-14 and 4.2-15.

Table 4.2-21: August 1-4 estimate for gizzard shad is reported by CLEAR as 9.5×10^5 , not 9.5×10^9 . The mean value, however, is correct.

Peak shad population thus appears to be in early June instead of in August as reported on p. 4.2-25.

Table 4.2-25: July 2 count for station 8 (bottom) is 0.4, not 1.4.

Table 4.2-26: July 2 count for station 10 (surface) is 35.0, not 33.8.

Table 4.2-31: All data for station P-16 is displaced from the correct species column.

Figure 4.2-1: According to 316(b) Literature Cited, the reference should be Hartman (a), not Hartman (b).

Chapter 5

p. 5.2-1: Gizzard shad are said to make up 51.8% of the impingement loss.

Calculations of extrapolated impingement losses of fish at the Monroe Power Plant over a 12-month period are presented in Subsection 4.3.2. An estimated total of 861,000 fish were impinged during this time, of which 51.8 percent were gizzard shad and 14.2 percent were yellow perch. The third most frequently impinged fish were shiners, which comprised 12.7 percent of the calculated 12-month total.

However, according to p. 4.3-1 and Table 4.3-1, gizzard shad comprised 58.1% of impinged fish.

Impingement at the Monroe Power Plant was extrapolated to 861,000 fish during the period from June 1975 to May 1976 (Table 4.3-1). Of this total, 58.1 percent were gizzard shad, 14.2 percent were yellow perch, 12.7 percent were shiners (*Notropis* spp.), 7.0 percent were freshwater drum, and 4.3 percent were white bass. Other species were impinged less frequently.

TABLE 4.3-1 FISH IMPINGEMENT EXTRAPOLATIONS AT THE NIBRUE POWER PLANT, JUNE 1975 THROUGH MAY 1976

Species	1975				1976				Totals	# of Total				
	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	June	July	Aug.	Sept.						
Gizzard shad	71	17552	48814	18879	15801	27060	83038	100719	143453	26447	113559	4154	500560	1.3
Bluegill	377	211	7	81	1268	427	76	9	872	1658	5583	10789	10789	0.8
White sucker	122	55	8	1928	2842	444	227	609	872	1658	5583	10789	10789	0.8
Shiner	14829	10184	11613	12831	12879	8054	8147	8590	9003	2488	8988	5348	109007	12.7
Trout-perch	183	37	56	120	235	86	15	614	943	401	1986	47	5471	0.6
Lepomis	47	52	37	140	369	221	32	4	6	63	138	1114	1114	0.1
Walleye	6	104	121	88	4	38	14	1436	3378	194	3777	454	12231	14.2
Yellow perch	4281	884	3551	1242	414	1974	1348	1436	3378	194	3777	454	12231	4.3
White bass	206	3689	13462	12921	5224	734	366	122	224	23	160	14	37235	8.1
Channel catfish	40	84	84	204	31	10	104	79	384	15	29	20	1128	0.1
Coho salmon	2	28	21	27	15	9	29		5	43	83	54	360	0.1
Rock bass	44	28	3	4	2	16	2458	487	1227	124	738	1051	60448	7.0
Smallmouth bass	7704	3187	14027	17799	10348	1804	95	25	34	2	43	19	278	0.1
Freshwater drum	4	129	37	61	83	74	169	38	20	34	29	182	8.1	0.1
White crappie	19	129	37	61	83	74	169	38	20	34	29	182	8.1	0.1
Sunfish	30	30		11			3					2	8	0.1
Northern pike												6	9	0.1
Brown bullhead	4	2		4		3		34	10	16	6	8	75	0.3
Yellow bullhead								484	639	685	438	92	2754	0.1
Gizzard shad	73	11	102	53	10	8	95	484	639	685	438	92	2754	0.1
Largemouth bass	2	1	3		6	3	7					3	10	0.1
Crinoid salmon	3	1		11		2	8	36	441	35	14	3	752	0.1
Carp	2	5		21	2					5	17	2	37	0.1
Suckers				2	2									
Others														
Totals	71811	44303	124976	76248	52232	43046	94898	110896	161508	52213	29572	17427	841231	

p. 5.3-3: Maximum standing crop of gizzard shad is 2.9×10^9 , not 9.5×10^9 , due to error in Table 4.2-21.

p. 5.3-4: Minimum percent of gizzard shad larvae lost is 0.3, not 0.1, due to error in Table 4.2-21.

Table 5.2-2: Ohio fall 1974 estimate for shiners is overestimated (46,343,000 instead of 82,913,000) by an apparent error in Table 4.2-18.

Table 5.2-2: Most of the Michigan 1970-75 means are incorrect because of transfer from Table 4.2-19.

Table 5.2-2: Michigan fall 1975 estimates for trout-perch and smelt are incorrect by transfer from Table 4.2-20.

Table 5.2-2: Michigan fall 1975 estimate for shiners is not the same as listed in Table 4.2-20 from which it supposedly came.

Table 5.2-3: Estimates listed for smelt and freshwater drum are incorrect by transfer from Table 5.2-2. The percentage impinged is therefore incorrect for these 2 species.

Table 5.3-1: CLEAR weekly maximum for shad is 2.9×10^9 not 9.5×10^9 . Percentage entrained is thus 0.3, not 0.1.

TABLE 5.3-1 PERCENTAGE OF LARVAL FISH ENTRAINMENT AT THE NUORON POWER PLANT

Species	Annual Estimate of Larvae Entrained ^(a)	Population Estimate				Percent Entrained			
		CLEAR ^(b)		Michigan Data ^(c)		CLEAR		Michigan Data	
		A. St. Clair	St. Clair Dam	A. St. Clair	St. Clair Dam	A. St. Clair	St. Clair Dam	A. St. Clair	St. Clair Dam
Albino ^(d)	11442	7.1×10^4	3.8×10^4	8.7×10^4	1.8×10^4	1.9	10.1	2.9	1.0
izzard shad ^(e)	2271022	5.1×10^4	3.5×10^4	3.4×10^4	6.6×10^4	1.9	12.7	2.8	1.0
White sucker	112227	8.3×10^4	3.3×10^4	1.8×10^4	1.1×10^4	0.3	2.2	4.0	0.7
Carp	484126	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Common shiner	170799	2.8×10^4	5.8×10^4	---	---	8.1	49.1	---	---
Common rockfish	71940	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Trout-perch	19082	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
White bass	30194	3.0×10^4	1.3×10^4	2.1×10^4	1.1×10^4	1.3	8.3	1.8	0.4
Yellow perch	490024	2.6×10^4	1.1×10^4	2.0×10^4	1.1×10^4	1.9	0.5	241.8	45.4
Lepomis	13910	1.3×10^4	5.3×10^4	---	---	3.1	1.0	---	---
Walleye	80710	2.4×10^4	2.1×10^4	---	---	15.7	0.1	---	---
Freshwater drum	777575	2.4×10^4	2.1×10^4	1.5×10^4	5.0×10^4	0.7	0.1	119.2	25.5

a. From Table 4.2-2.
 b. From Table 4.2-21, 51% of western basin area.
 c. From Table 4.2-20, six stations closest to the Nuoron Power Plant.
 d. Albino and izzard shad identification estimates for Nuoron and the Michigan 100 data were apportioned according to the ratio found in the CLEAR ichthyoplankton data.
 e. Dashes indicate calculations not completed or data not available.

Table 5.3-1: CLEAR mean value for walleye should be 2.5×10^5 from Table 4.2-21 below. This results in the tabulated percentage entrained of 163.7%. The 2.2×10^5 value yields 186.0%.

TABLE 4.2-21 TOTAL LARVAL POPULATION ABUNDANCE ESTIMATES FOR 621 mi² (1,611 km²) OF THE WESTERN BASIN (51% OF TOTAL AREA), INCLUDING ALL OHIO WATERS AND A FRACTION OF CANADIAN WATERS 1975^(a)

Species	May 15-18	May 21-25	June 1-5	June 11-15	June 21-25	July 1-5	July 11-15	Aug. 1-5	Aug. 11-15	Mean
izzard shad	---	4.1×10^4	2.9×10^4	3.4×10^4	4.3×10^4	4.3×10^4	1.2×10^4	9.5×10^4	1.4×10^4	5.1×10^4
White sucker	3.8×10^4	3.5×10^4	3.5×10^4	2.8×10^4	1.4×10^4	2.5×10^4	3.8×10^4	---	4.7×10^4	3.3×10^4
Common shiner	---	7.4×10^4	8.4×10^4	5.8×10^4	5.3×10^4	4.3×10^4	1.2×10^4	1.5×10^4	7.4×10^4	2.0×10^4
Rock bass	---	3.1×10^4	3.7×10^4	6.0×10^4	7.4×10^4	2.3×10^4	---	---	---	2.2×10^4
Freshwater drum	---	3.1×10^4	2.1×10^4	1.0×10^4	7.0×10^4	4.9×10^4	1.9×10^4	2.9×10^4	---	2.4×10^4
White bass	4.1×10^4	7.5×10^4	1.1×10^4	1.3×10^4	2.0×10^4	---	---	---	---	3.0×10^4
Yellow perch	---	1.2×10^4	7.1×10^4	2.5×10^4	3.0×10^4	1.4×10^4	1.8×10^4	---	---	2.4×10^4
Walleye	---	2.1×10^4	---	---	7.4×10^4	---	---	---	---	2.1×10^4
Lepomis	---	---	---	3.8×10^4	1.4×10^4	2.3×10^4	7.0×10^4	2.9×10^4	---	7.1×10^4
Rock bass	---	---	---	4.9×10^4	1.3×10^4	5.3×10^4	---	---	---	1.3×10^4 (a)

a. From CLEAR, unpublished draft data.
 b. Dashes indicate identification data.
 c. This species was changed from 4 to 6 to correct an error on the original table.

Table 5.3-3: The numbers of alewife and shad larvae entrained are not the same as those in Table 5.3-1

TABLE 5.3-1. PERCENTAGE OF POTENTIAL ADULT FISH LOST THROUGH ENTRAINMENT IN LAKE ERIE

Species	No. of Larvae Entrained	Adult Losses (a)	Population Estimate (in Thousands) (b)	% Lost to Population	Table Reference (c)
Alewife	38,404	51	35,679	0.0001	Mean of 4.2-17 and 4.2-20
Gizzard shad	5,562,726	510	76,328	0.0007	Mean of 4.2-17 and 4.2-20
Rainbow smelt	722,821	15,800 (d)	419	3.8 (e)	4.2-20
Emerald shiner	170,299	1,000	2,854	0.04	4.2-20
Channel catfish	719,548	3,600	1,851	0.2	4.2-20
White bass	391,945	14	20,815	0.00006	4.2-17
Yellow perch	4,996,924	4,000	18,942	0.02	4.2-20
Walleye	409,186	170	5,500	0.003	4.2-20
Freshwater drum	1,774,575	710	14,200	0.005	4.2-20

- a. Numbers taken from Table 5.3-2 and generated through 90% model.
 b. Best estimate based on current abundance indices (see rationales developed in Subsection 5.2.3).
 c. Rationales for estimates in these tables presented in Subsection 4.2.
 d. Used 99.5% model based on Rothchild 1961.
 e. Estimated percent lost to population is too high if Canadian commercial harvest information is considered (Subsection 5.2.3).